EXHIBIT A

```
1
              IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
               FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
2
                       MARSHALL DIVISION
                                            Civil Docket No.
  GOOGLE, INC.
3
                                            2:11-CV-229
                                            Marshall, Texas
  VS.
                                            January 21, 2014
                                           12:45 P.M.
   BENEFICIAL INNOVATIONS, INC.
                    TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL
          BEFORE THE HONORABLE JUDGE RODNEY GILSTRAP
                  UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8
   APPEARANCES:
                         MS. CHRISTA ANDERSON
  FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
10 l
                          MS. JENNIFER HUBER
                          Keker & Van Nest
11
                          633 Battery Street
                          San Francisco, CA
                                               94111
12
13
                          MR. MICHAEL JONES
                          MR. ALLEN GARDNER
14
                          Potter Minton Firm
                          110 North College Street
15
                          Suite 500
                          Tyler, TX 75702
16
17
   APPEARANCES CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE:
18
19
20
                          MS. SHELLY HOLMES, CSR
   COURT REPORTERS:
21
                          MS. SUSAN SIMMONS, CSR
                          Official Court Reporters
22
                          100 East Houston, Suite 125
                          Marshall, TX
                                         75670
23
                          903/935-3868
24
   (Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography,
25
```

transcript produced on CAT system.)

- And Google never lost any customers or 2 partners because of that complaint, right? 3 Α. Correct. Now, the key issue in this case is the settlement agreement. And I want you to take a look at Exhibit 1. I think this is the first document in your 7 binder. Do you have that in front of you, sir? 8 9 Α. Yes, sir. What role did you play in negotiating the 10 Q. terms of this agreement? 11 I was one of the Google attorneys involved. 12 Α. And your role was just to be one of the 13 Q. attorneys, or did you have a -- a more significant role? 14 I worked on drafting this agreement, and like 15 I said earlier, my day-to-day supports was to be 16 responsible for these matters. 17 Part of your role was trying to get the best 18
 - Q. Part of your role was trying to get the best license and deal that you could get for Google for the money that Google was paying, correct?
 - A. Yes.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. And at the time that you were negotiating with respect to the terms of this license agreement, did you have in your mindset that you wanted to get the best possible deal for Google's partners?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

25

Beneficial's lawsuit?

You were also asked a series of questions about what you know about what Beneficial did or did not do in preparing complaints in connection with the lawsuits. Do you remember that line of questions? Yes, ma'am. Α. Do you have knowledge as to what Beneficial did or didn't do in preparing its words in accusing Google and customers of infringement? I only know the Court requirements, ma'am. Α. Okay. And what are those? The Court requirements require that a 13 Plaintiff, before setting -- or filing a lawsuit, do their best investigation and -- and -- and -- and access all publicly available information to state their case. 15 And is there some information available Q. publicly about DoubleClick? Yes, ma'am. Α. And for how long has information been available publicly about DoubleClick? 20 For as long as DoubleClick's been a product. Α. That's all before my time at Google. You were also asked some questions about Q. whether or not Google lost any partners as a result of 24

A. Yes, ma'am.

- Q. Are you the person at Google who would know whether or not a customer was lost as a result of the lawsuit?
 - A. No, ma'am.
 - Q. And why is that?
- A. I'm on the legal side of -- the sales people who handle the day-to-day relationships with the customers in their -- that organization would be better -- better positioned.
- Q. Okay. You were also asked some questions about the language in the settlement agreement called a covenant not to sue and whether or not there were promises not to sue certain partners.

Do you remember those questions?

- 16 A. Yes, ma'am.
 - Q. Do you have a view as to whether or not the license provisions in the settlement agreement provide any protection against lawsuits to anyone?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. And why is that?
- A. There are two different things. The license,
 as we discussed, is limited, whereas the covenant not to
 sue is not. The covenant not to sue is very broad,
 covering any kind of infringement, patent or otherwise,

```
point to Beneficial's beliefs and their contentions
1
   early in the case to show that providing DoubleClick
   would constitute indirect infringement, you would agree
   that you have no other source of evidence to point to
   that would satisfy this condition, right?
5
        Α.
             Correct.
6
             You were asked some questions about the harm
           Do you remember that?
   issue.
8
             Yes, sir.
9
        Α.
             And one of the things I asked you on cross was
10
        Q.
   whether or not any of your customers left or you lost
11
   business based on the lawsuits, right?
12
        Α.
             Yes.
13
             And on redirect, Counsel asked you whether or
14
   not you were the person that would know that
   information, right?
16
             Correct.
17
        Α.
             Who made the decision to appoint you to be
18
   Google's representative here? Was it you?
19
20
        Α.
             Yes.
             You yourself made the decision that says:
        Q.
21
   will represent and come here on behalf of Google, right?
22
        Α.
             Yes.
23
             Now, when you made that decision, you
24
   understood Google's theories of the case, right?
25
```

```
Α.
             Yes, sir.
             You understood how Google was intending to
2
   show it was harmed, right?
4
        Α.
             Yes, sir.
             When -- when you -- when you made the decision
5
        Q.
  to be its representative and doing your full scope, you
   didn't think: Well, if I don't know if our customers
   left, maybe I should find it out from someone?
             I can't know the future, sir. No, sir.
9
             Well, all right. So you know -- as you sit
10
        Q.
   here today, you know for sure no customers have left as
11
   of today, right?
12
             That's correct.
13
        Α.
                             No further questions, Your
                  MR. ADAMS:
14
15 Honor.
                  THE COURT: Additional direct?
16
                  MS. ANDERSON: Just briefly, Your Honor.
17
18
   Thank you.
                  THE COURT: All right.
19
                     REDIRECT EXAMINATION
20
   BY MS. ANDERSON:
21
             Mr. Trinh, you were asked questions about
2.2
        Q.
   whether it -- about Beneficial's infringement contention
23
   allegations. Do those contentions and allegations have
24
   any value in your eyes in terms of proving that
```

```
1
 2
                          CERTIFICATION
 3
 4
                 I HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing is a
 5 true and correct transcript from the stenographic notes
  of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter to the
  best of my ability.
8
9
10
11
   /s/__
                                           1/21/14_
   SHELLY HOLMES, CSR
                                           Date
12 Official Court Reporter
   State of Texas No.: 7804
  Expiration Date 12/31/14
13
14
15
  /s/____
                                               1/21/14_
   SUSAN SIMMONS, CSR
                                         Date
   Official Court Reporter
16
   State of Texas No.: 267
  Expiration Date 12/31/14
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```